

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,386	10/31/2003	Mohammad Jaber Borran	873.0130.U1(US)	2899
29683 7	590 11/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE			ODOM, C	URTIS B
	T 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	_

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,386	BORRAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Curtis B. Odom	2634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 C</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-15 and 17-24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,16 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2005</u> is/arc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/699,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "that the detector..." is suggested to be changed to "such that the detector...". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to define each variable in each equation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-12 are directed towards a signal constellation which is

Art Unit: 2634

simply a data signal. Note this data signal merely consists of "1" and "0" to represent the coded signal. It does not fall under the category or a method, apparatus, product, or composition of matter. The signal falls into the category of Nonfunctional Descriptive Material. See for example MPEP § 2106 IV.B.1. (b) which states that

The policy that precludes the patenting of nonfunctional descriptive material would be easily frustrated if the same descriptive material could be patented when claimed as an article of manufacture. For example, music is commonly sold to consumers in the format of a compact disc. In such cases, the known compact disc acts as nothing more than a carrier for nonfunctional descriptive material. The purely nonfunctional descriptive material cannot alone provide the practical application for the manufacture.

The signal is functionally equivalent to the compact disc in that it is nothing more than a carrier for nonfunctional descriptive material (1's and 0's). The nonfunctional material, or the signal for that fact, cannot alone provide the practical application for the manufacture. Without a communications device, the signal is nonfunctional, it produces or manufactures nothing. Thus, a claim directed toward a signal is deemed non-statutory subject matter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-15 and 17-24 allowable over prior art references because related references do not disclose communicating a constellation which includes points separated by a minimum distance based on a maximized minimum difference between conditional probability distributions.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Walker et al. (US 2003/0076889) discloses maximizing a minimum distance between constellation points.

Olafsson (U. S. Patent No. 6, 081, 555) and Goldstein (U. S. Patent No. 5, 822, 371) both disclose mapping constellation points.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis B. Odom whose telephone number is 571-272-3046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,386

Art Unit: 2634

November 11, 2005

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5